

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 02/17/2004 8328.001 3427 10/780,260 Marvin A. Wallace EXAMINER 30589 7590 02/25/2005 DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C. ADDIE, RAYMOND W PO BOX 16370 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113 3671

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ž	
Office Action Summary	10/780,260	WALLACE, MARVIN A.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Raymond W. Addie	3671		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sneet w	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a loby within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	<i>July 2004</i> .			
•	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	Note that to be the Eveniner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/a	re: a) 🔀 accepted or b) 🗀	objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e grawing(s) be field in abeya ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	.).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	•	
·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.440(-) (-1) (5)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A It is in a contract of the contract of	Application No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	🗖	O(DTO 442)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
L.O. D. L. L. L. Trademody Office				

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 3, the word "move"; should be --moved--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ransome # 975,457.

Ransome, as cited by the Applicant, discloses a device (unnumbered) for filling an open trench (a) with dirt (c) lying alongside the trench (a) the device comprising:

- A skid (19a, 20a) mounted frame (10). Said frame having a forward end and a rear end sized to span said open trench.
- Means (19) in the forward end of the frame for moving the previously removed dirt (c) generally into and over the trench (a).
- Means (16) in the frame for temporarily leveling the dirt (c) moved into and over the trench (a).

Means (17) in the frame for compacting the temporarily leveled dirt (c).

Art Unit: 3671

At least one vertical side plate (18) at each side of said frame (10).

At least one skid (19a, 20a) disposed along a lower edge of each said side plate (18).

Wherein said means (19) includes a plurality of converging scraper blades (19),

adjustably supported in the frame.

Further wherein said means (16) comprises a scraper blade (16) extending across the frame.

Still further wherein said means (17) comprises a compaction roller rotatably supported across the frame (10).

See Fig. 1-3; See also col.1, In. 37-col. 3, In. 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ransome #

975,457 in view of Reece # 4,539,765.

Ransome discloses a skid mounted device for filling trenches but does not disclose mounting the device to a vehicle.

However, Reece teaches skid (12, 20) mounted trench filling devices (6) are

Art Unit: 3671

advantageously dragged behind tow vehicles (2), in order to accurately level, windrowed spoil (5), into a trench (3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the trench filling device of Ransome, with vehicle attachment assembly, as taught by Reece, in order to quickly refill a trench. See Reece Cols. 1-2.

- 5. Claims 7, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ransome # 975,457 in view of Otto et al. # 6,520,717.
- Ransome discloses a device for filling trenches but does not disclose using rubber tires for pre-compacting/leveling the refilled trench. However, Otto et al. teaches rubber tire assemblies (10) can be towed behind trench filling machines (12), for repeated compacting of soil (S), pre-leveled by a trench filling device (12). See Col. 2, In. 66- col. 3, In. 50.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ransome # 975,457 in view of Otto et al. # 6,520,717 as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Berrange # 4,019,825.

Ransome in view of Otto et al., disclose a trench filling device having a plurality of devices to fill, level and compact spoil (5) into a trench (3); to include selectively, biasing the compacting wheels, via hydraulic pressure means (H), to control the compacting force, applied to the filled trench. What Ransome in view of Otto et al., do not disclose

Art Unit: 3671

is biasing the compacting wheels with a spring assembly. However, Berrange teaches air spring assemblies (11) reliably and accurately suspend compacting wheels (1) on a tow-able frame (6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the trench-filling/compacting device of Ransome in view of Otto et al., with an air spring assembly, as taught by Berrange, in order to reduce vibration transmission to the frame (6). See cols. 2-3.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee # 1,384,617 discloses a trench filling device. Spindler # 3,157,139 discloses a trench filling device. Wyatt # 3,471,953 discloses a trench filling device. Couch # 3,797,582 discloses a trench filling device. Van der Lely et al. discloses a trench filling device. Shepherd # 5,114,269 discloses a trench filling device. Palm et la. # 5,526,590 discloses a trench compactor. Coutarel et al. # 5.659,983 discloses a trench filling device. Gillespie # 5,845,717 discloses a trench filling device. Peterson # 6,273,637 B1. Philpott # 6,607,330 B2 discloses a trench filling device.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Addie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 am to 2:30 pm.

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number

for this Group is (703) 872-9326.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

On or about 3/30/05 Examiner Addie's telephone number will become (571) 272-6986.

Raymond Addie

Patent Examiner

Group 3600

RWA 2/19/2005